

1 Patricia M. Erickson, Esq.
2 Nevada Bar 3506
3 601 South Tenth St., Suite 206
4 Las Vegas, NV 89101
5 702.388.1055
6 Counsel for Plaintiff:
7 FRED STITES

5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

7 FRED STITES,)
8 Plaintiff,)
9 v.)
10 GLEN WHORTON, E.K. McDANIEL,)
11 ADAM ENDEL, BILL DONAT, MR.)
12 DRAIN, MS. MATLOCK, and)
13 DOES 1 THRU 50.)
14 Defendants.)
15 _____

Case No. 3:06-cv-00092-LRH(VPC)

**STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME TO
FILE PETITIONER'S OPPOSITION TO
MOTION TO DISMISS, SCHEDULING
DEFENDANTS' REPLY TO
OPPOSITION and ORDER**

14 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Plaintiff, FRED
15 STITES, by and through his counsel, Patricia M. Erickson and Defendants
16 WHORTON, McDANIEL, ENDEL, DONAT, DRAIN, and MATLOCK, by and through
17 their counsel, George J. Chanos, Attorney General, by and through Patrick
18 McGinnis, Deputy Attorney General, that:

19 A. Plaintiff STITES has no objection to the joinder of Defendants
20 McDANIEL, ENDEL, DRAIN and MATLOCK to the previously filed
21 Motion To Dismiss;

22 B. The time for filing Plaintiff's Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss
23 shall be extended and the Opposition shall be filed on or before
24 June 29, 2006; and,

25 C. Defendants' Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to the Motion to
26 Dismiss shall be filed on or before July 31, 2006.

27 ///

28 ///

- 1 1. This Stipulation is entered into in good faith.
- 2 2. This Stipulation is brought in the interests of justice and not for
- 3 purposes of delay.

4 DATED this 23 day of May, 2006.

GEORGE J. CHANOS
Attorney General

9 Patricia M. Erickson
Nevada Bar No. 3506
10 601 South Tenth St., Suite 206
11 Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 388-1055

Patrick McGinnis
Deputy Attorney General
Litigation Division
100 No. Carson St.
Carson City, NV 89701
(775) 684-1256

ORDER

13 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendants' Motion For Joinder (docket #16)
14
is granted.

15
16 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff STITES shall have to and including
June 29, 2006 within which to file his Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss.

17 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendants' shall have to and including July
18 31, 2006 within which to file their Reply to Plaintiff STITES' Opposition to the
19 Motion to Dismiss.

DATED: May 30, 2006.

**LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**